BEFORE THE WEST VIRGINIA BOARD OF SOCIAL WORK EXAMINERS

WEST VIRGINIA BOARD OF SOCIAL WORK EXAMINERS,

Complainant,

V.

Case No. MPF412-06

MATTHEW T. POWELL, License No.: BP02943181,

Respondent.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSION OF LAW AND ORDER OF THE BOARD

After due investigation of a written complaint, the West Virginia Board of Social Work Examiners (hereinafter "Board") determined that there was probable cause to believe that Matthew T. Powell (hereinafter "Respondent") has exhibited unprofessional and unethical conduct in the practice of social work, in violation of the provisions of W. Va. Code § 30-30-1 et seq. and the Rules of the Board, W. Va. Code R. § 25-1 et seq. The Respondent was provided with written notice of the allegations against him and this matter was scheduled for hearing for which a hearing was held on October 17, 2011, pursuant to the rules of the Board and the laws of this State.

The Board appeared by and through Katherine A. Campbell, Assistant Attorney General, and the Respondent failed to appear. Testimony and exhibits were offered by the Board, and no evidence in any form was offered by the Respondent.

A PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PRO

The Board adopts the relevancy factorys in debraction

markinkana d

and property in the first the contribute of the first of the contribute of the contribute of the contribute of

len beharmakseleberekszeten erentekszerteiteksető kentárkészteksekszerteksekszertekseksekszerten elekterteksek authoritz szantod tortoby klukka Code § 30-30-hadvagast, elektekseksekszertekszertekszertekszertekszertekszert

And the India Williams (Williams), Executive Director of the Board, testa flector behalf of

can al mar non traller de l'encercia de l'en

Some Silving and the state of t

realth (18) a scutting be figured as the situation of a series (1991). The first situation

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Board adopts the following findings in this matter:

- 1. That the Board is a State entity created by W. Va. Code § 30-30-1 *et seq*. and is empowered to regulate the practice of social work.
- 2. That the Respondent, Matthew T. Powell, is a licensee of the Board, possessing License No. BP02943181, and is therefore subject to the license requirements of said Board. Moreover, by *Order* dated March 17, 2011, the Respondent's license to practice social work in the state of West Virginia was immediately suspended pursuant to W. Va. Code R. § 25-10-7. *See* October 17, 2011, Record at 5 and 6 (hereinafter "10/17/11 R. at _____") and Board Exhibit 4.
- 3. That the Board is empowered to investigate allegations of unprofessional or unethical conduct and to suspend, restrict, revoke or refuse to issue a license to practice social work under the authority granted to it by W. Va. Code § 30-30-1 et seq.
- 4. Judith Williams (Williams), Executive Director of the Board, testified on behalf of the Board.
- 5. Williams testified that the Board received a complaint against the Respondent when he was employed as a Social Worker for McDowell Nursing & Rehabilitation Center, Inc. in McDowell County, West Virginia, on September 9, 2010. 10/17/11 R. at 5-6, and 8 and Board Exhibit 1.
- 6. Williams testified that this complaint was forwarded to the Respondent pursuant to the Board's regulations for the Respondent's response; however, no response was received from the Respondent. This complaint had been forwarded to him with his renewed license which was received by the Respondent. 10/17/11 R. at 6-7 and Board Exhibit 1.

Williams testified used takifichisches blackpanklichter to makerie i.e. e. e. et allere wo walkangadi edi ya ikuwa za azediba mwaka atanyaki isoni waalili wiya**wa**n**o.** Makasa ofi mwa his renewal application at 104 Bee Street in Princeton. West Virginia. 10/17/11 R18/7. Williams testified that the Bhard becoived unbiller containing in Disconter 2010. plante est considit a delicar morally in Albert Seller Ambitana altreme behave and excellence of The control of the control of the statement of the statement of the control of th on on the section of the section for the control of the section of The property of the Addition of the Commence of the Additional Commence of the Successor and translatives an existing research the subcarrence attended and confine side of this to of a considerable contraction to the considerable of the constraint property of the property of the property of or are in the constitution in the first fluid fluid fluid fluid fluid in the leaders of the the constitution of the constituti investigative report on both complaints and found probable cause on both of these matters to proceed edd isdfeddi asgrayddi i Wilcomodd Bash negechiedd tapicau collog y andl closib bas erimod antim Brend yeard to inimisablately abed and the Baurondeen's do need beard upon the interest are down to of the Control of the Angles of Ewalth Mr. Strate for Chaten as the Wiltisternation oil beach rome six monoraged that extrements in misconnects abandon within noiself the contest of th refund a relacionativa de la contrata a describir de la comparazione di referenzia de la constata del constata our most harbiere en milliog out de l'existence avec de les Children esse en 1925. Per brind Completion was sevental engravate necessary in the process is a price of consequence of the province of the first

in the cold beneficial tops to the first cold to be to the cold to be the cold to be the cold to be the cold to

- 7. Williams testified that it is the licensee's responsibility to maintain a current address with the Board. Moreover, Williams used the most current address as listed by the Respondent on his renewal application at 104 Bee Street in Princeton, West Virginia. 10/17/11 R. at 7.
- 8. Williams testified that the Board received another complaint in December 2010, regarding the Respondent when he was employed as a Social Worker for the Maples in Bluefield, West Virginia. 10/17/11 R. at 8 and Board Exhibit 2.
- 9. This second complaint was forwarded to the Respondent for a response on January 18, 2011; however, no response was received by the Board. 10/17/11 R. at 9.
- 10. Moreover, Williams testified that at the time of the receipt of the second complaint the Board was still in the process of investigating the first complaint received by the Board. 10/17/11 R. at 8-9.
- 11. Williams testified that the Board at its March 17, 2011, meeting received the investigative report on both complaints and found probable cause on both of these matters to proceed with a hearing and disciplinary action against the Respondent. Moreover, Williams testified that the Board voted to immediately suspend the Respondent's license based upon the immediate threat to the public. 10/17/11 R. at 9-10 and Board Exhibit 4.
- 12. Notice of the immediate suspension was sent to the Respondent via letter dated March 23, 2011. See 10/17/11 R. at 10-11 and Board Exhibit 3.
- 13. The *Complaint* was sent to the Respondent via certified and regular mail via a letter dated July 22, 2011. *See* 10/17/11 R. at 11 and Board Exhibit 5. Williams testified that the *Complaint* was sent under separate letter due to inadvertence of leaving it out of a previous mailing

on a commoditional Clarifornia in the movement the Caty rate that the the thirther that the City City City City

ins Respondent was at 194 Fee Street, Principle, West English, New 104 IV. Ni 18. St 11-13

- configuration of The Board did not been from the Respondent regarding any of the healthings including the complaint of Unisent Agreement New 10/17/11 Rest 12-13; The Description of Unisent Agreement New 10/17/11 Rest 12-13; The Description and Street Contract New 10/17/11 Rest 12-13; The Description and Street Contract New 10/17/11 Rest 10/17/11 Rest 12-13; The Description of United Street Contract New 10/17/11 Rest 12-13; The Description of United Street Contract New 10/17/11 Rest 10/17/11 Rest 12-13; The Description of United Street Contract New 10/17/11 Rest 10/17/11 Res

en ein gemmelle net tegenseel in and de tue dementalment bunkningene minister Elle Creamille Elle en een een e Ander te territaire van de leer en een een een een een een beding en de bedingen de bijdterseen en een een ee

and the state of the control of the

Brother the control of the Control o

to the second description of the second description of the second description of the first compliment of the second description description of the second description of the second description de

nester v peak kilkurestes istroperative on teather troppase to be propositive and interested to the control of the control of

Committee hooking account. Stewertals greathed the Residence legs of a characteristic

of the Board offered *Consent Agreement*. Moreover, the only address that the Board had on file for the Respondent was at 104 Bee Street, Princeton, West Virginia. *See* 10/17/11 R. at 11-13

- 14. The Board did not hear from the Respondent regarding any of the mailings including the complaints or Consent Agreement. See 10/17/11 R. at 12-13.
- 15. The Board sent out the *Notice of Hearing* in this matter which was received by the Respondent as evidenced by the signature on the green card receipt. *See* 10/17/11 R. at 13-14 and Board Exhibit 6.
- 16. The Board sent a letter dated September 22, 2011, to the Respondent changing the location of the Board meeting to the hotel next door from the previous location. *See* 10/17/11 R. at 14-15 and Board Exhibit 7.
- 17. Williams testified that she did speak via the telephone with the Respondent after he had received the first complaint in September 2010. Respondent indicated when questioned by Williams that he believed the complaint was taken care of whereupon Williams explained that the complaint was still pending before the Board. *See* 10/17/11 R. at 16-17.
- 18. Shelia Stewart (Stewart), Executive Director of McDowell Nursing and Rehabilitation Center (McDowell Center) testified on behalf of the Board.
- 19. Stewart testified that the Respondent worked at McDowell Center as social worker for approximately two years from July 2008 until May 2010 until he resigned from this position. *See* 10/17/11 R. at 19-20.
- 20. Stewart testified that soon after he left she discovered discrepancies with the Resident Council's checking account. Stewart also testified that the Resident Council's checking account is

in the diale and redissibly the desidents of Neddowski Cometic Contestion Special projects in an increase.

See FOR INTERCACONS SHOULD be a continued for this absolute of the contestion of the

25. Stewart tostified that show is able to obtain a print out from the bank, Pioneer Corniumity Sank, where the checking account limits were lodged which showed numerous chocks being the characters that the theorem is not the characters that the characters is a subject to the characters this characters is a subject to the characters of the characters o

i so sodretes v og privkingestrement vittere he detalheddrete operfædere knak statsmere in omfer to hide histivlegskeretioner skep 10/17/11/2 at 22-24. He had en state til state til state til state til state til

The Stevent confidence of the first of the contract of the contract of the contract of the state of the first of the contract of the first of the contract of

21. Becale Esperson (hpreson), Adrenmanton at the Mapins in Black of Starfold.

Viginia tout for an behalf of the Board.

funds that are raised by the residents of McDowell Center to conduct special projects or outings. See 10/17/11 R. at 20-21.

- 21. Stewart testified that she was able to obtain a print out from the bank, Pioneer Community Bank, where the checking account funds were lodged which showed numerous checks being written to the Gary Bantam Market which is a local supermarket. None of the charges on this printout were authorized by the Resident Council which occurred between January through April 2010. See 10/17/11 R. 21-23.
- 22. Stewart testified that the Respondent had access to the checks from the Resident Council during the January through February 2010 time period after the departure of a supervisory employee who had yet to be replaced by the McDowell Center. Moreover, the Respondent began to volunteer to pick up the mail where he would intercept the monthly bank statement in order to hide his illegal actions. *See* 10/17/11 R. at 22-24.
- 23. Once it was discovered that these funds were taken illegally by the Respondent, Stewart testified that she contacted the Respondent who admitted to her that he had taken these monies without authorization. The full amount taken was \$1,539.25. See 10/17/11 R. at 24-27 and Board Exhibit 9.
- 24. Stewart testified that he agreed to repay the monies into the Resident Council fund, but made only one \$100 payment. Stewart testified the she contacted him via letter dated August 25, 2010, demanding repayment of the remaining amount of \$1,439.25; however, she failed to hear from the Respondent again. *See* 10/17/11 R. at 25-26 and Board Exhibit 10.
- 25. Brenda Epperson (Epperson), Administrator, at the Maples in Bluefield, West Virginia testified on behalf of the Board.

- 26. Epperson testified that the Respondent worked for the Maples from approximately June 2010 to November 2010 as a social worker. See 10/17/11 R. at 30-31.
- 27. Epperson testified that the Respondent was fired from his position for work performance issues, such as failing to show up for work. However, it was discovered after he was fired that the Respondent had written checks on accounts of three residents of the Maples without authorization. Respondent had illegally obtained approximately \$2,750.00 from these residents. See 10/17/11 R. at 31-32.
- 28. Epperson testified that one of the residents, Martha Bowling, had checks written without her authorization from approximately July 2010 through November 2010. See 10/17/11 R. at 32 and Board Exhibit 12.
- 29. Epperson testified another resident, Albert Alexander, had one check written in the amount of \$100 in November 2010 without his authorization. *See* 10/17/11 R. at 33 and Board Exhibit 11.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. That the Board has jurisdiction to take disciplinary action against Respondent.
- 2. That based upon the findings of unprofessional and/or unethical conduct set out above in the *Findings of Fact* section, the Board is authorized to suspend, revoke or otherwise restrict the license of the Respondent to practice social work, pursuant to W. Va. Code § 30-30-7.
- 3. That on July 21, 2011, the Board issued a Statement of Charges to the Respondent sent via letter dated July 22, 2011, certified mail at his last known address. On August 29, 2011, the Board issued a Notice of Hearing which was sent via certified mail with the proof of delivery indicating that the Respondent received and signed for it on September 6, 2011.

4. Licensees are required to maintain a current address with the Board and are required to notify the board of any address changes within thirty days of any change. W. Va. Code R. § 25-1-7.1.

5. That the Respondent's acts constitute conduct, practices or acts resulting in professional negligence. Specifically, the Respondent violated W. Va. Code. §§ 30-30-7(a)(2), (3), and (6) and W. Va. Code R. §§ 1.06(b) and (c), 3.04, 3.09, 4.03, and 4.04.

ORDER

On the basis of the foregoing, the Board does hereby ORDER and DECREE that:

- 1. Respondent's license to practice social work is hereby REVOKED effective immediately, and Respondent is hereby ORDERED to return all licenses to the Board office immediately upon receipt of this Order.
- 2. That Respondent shall reimburse the Board for all of the administrative and legal expenses incurred by the Board in the investigation and disposition of this case.

Dated this 3/5 of January, 2012.

RITA,M. BROWN, CHAIRPERSON

WEST VIRGINIA BOARD OF SOCIAL WORK EXAMINERS